



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,045	01/22/2002	Timothy G. Tyler	LOG-03-PUSA	1482

23410 7590 04/23/2003

NEAL M COHEN
2424 SE BRISTOL STREET
SUITE 300
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

EINSMANN, MARGARET V

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,045	TYLER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Margaret Einsmann	1751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 - 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- Disposition of Claims**
- 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 - 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 - 6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
 - 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 - 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "powder" is indefinite because it does not describe the component in terms of what it is. "Powder" is only the form that a substance takes. It may be a face powder, gunpowder, talcum powder, a powdered drug. By stating that a powder is applied, applicant is not stating what is applied. Tugwell, US 4,037,008 col 4 lines 44 et seq, defines a plastisol as resin particles dispersed in a plasticizer. Are not the resin particles themselves a powder since they are in the form of particles? Accordingly, we may assume that whenever a plastisol composition is applied to a transfer sheet, said plastisol incorporates a powder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sammis, US 6,143,115 in view of Hurnik et al. US 4,623,686.

Sammis teaches formation of a transfer sheet with abrasive particles imbedded therein. (Title) The transfer material comprises a single, independent, homogeneous and transparent layer of thermoplastic material such as a plastisol which liquefies upon heating during the heat transfer process. Col 3 lines 62-65. Before the transfer material has fully congealed the abrasive particles are partially embedded therein. The transfer material is applied to the transfer sheet by passing it through a screen. Col 4 lines 1-10. The abrasive particles are also adhesive in nature when activated by heat. Said abrasive particles may be nylon, which is a polyamide, reading on the copolyamide as claimed. Additionally, since the abrasive particles have adhesive properties, said particles (powder) adhere to the surface. Col 4 lines 12-28. Since the abrasive particles may be considered a powder, this disclosure teaches applying powder to the plastisol ink layer and then heating as claimed. The patent differs from the instant claims in not claiming that the ink comprises aromatic polyisocyanates.

Hurnik et al. disclose the formation of plastisol compositions which comprise a composition comprising aromatic polysiocyanates. Hurnik's plastisols have excellent storage stability and improve the bonding of the plastisol to substrates such as plastics, foils and textile sheet products. See Hurnik col 1 lines 51-68, and the teaching of aromatic polyisocyanates at col 4 lines 52 et seq. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use the plastisol composition comprising the polyisocyanate as disclosed by Hurnik et al. as the ink in patentee's process for the improved benefits as taught by Hurnik et al., that is, excellent adhesive properties and storage stability. Noting example 5 in col 19, the proportions of plastisol to polysiocyanate are more than

2 to 1; the curing temperature is at least about 100 degrees Farenheit in a circulating oven, equivalent to applicant's curing tunnel. Regarding the limitations of claim 19 and its dependent claims and claim 42 and its dependent claims, it is well known that more than one ink may be applied to the same transfer paper to form a multicolor image.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is 703-308-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -4:30 PM M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret Einsmann
Margaret Einsmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

Application/Control Number: 10/054,045
Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

April 17, 2003